

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox. 1450 Accountable Spring 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/049,809	02/19/2002	Maximilian Gottl	265-106	6122	
7:	590 10/30/2003		EXAMINER		
Nixon & Vanderhye			LIEE, BENNY T		
1100 North Glebe Road 8th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			2817		
			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			A.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
• .	- '		⊐ F	EXAMINEF		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			Į	DATE MAILED:		

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 19 Feb 200	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire the Comonthis), Tays.k. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.	
Part THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: L Nolice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-932. 2. Nolice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-932. 2. Nolice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-940. 4. Nolice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1414 6.	oring, PTO-948. tent Application, Form PTO-152
2at II SUMMARY OF ACTION	.*
1-24	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.
Z Claims	have been cancelled.
1. Clains	are allowed.
4 D Claims 1-23; 24	are rejected.
S. Claims	are objected to.
6. Clainsare subject	to restriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filled with Informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purpormatter is indicated.	oses until such time as allowable subject
matter is indicated. I. Allowable subject matter having been indicated formal drawings are required in response to this in	Office action.
The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on . These drawing and acceptable (see explanation). These drawings have been received on	awings are acceptable;
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of has (have) been grouped by the examiner, disapproved by the examiner (see explanation)	
11. The proposed crawing correction, filled	billity to ensure that the drawings are
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has	been received not been received
been filed in parent application, serial no. ; filed on;	
 Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecut accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 Q.G. 213. 	ion as to the merits is closed in
14. Other	

EXAMINER'S ACTION

SN 49809

••

Application/Control Number: 10/049,809

Art Unit: 2817

A substitute specification is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because a substantial amount of text appears to be missing from the specification, as filed. For example, the following descriptive text appears missing: the top of page 3; Page 4 in it's entirety; any descriptive text bridging pages 5 and 6; a substantial portion of page 9. Absent this missing text, the specification description is deemed incomplete.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are:

Page 2, line 8, --these--after "solutions of"; line 10, --solutions--after "these"; lines 20-23, "via which --- Since, however..."; lines 38, 39, "that is to say...assemblies 9', 9" in the ...". Page 3, line 13, 14, "...the dipole radiating element being supplied...". Page 8, line 21, "in whose region..."; line 31, "...there, which ...". Page 9, line 31, "...formed-out regions...".

Art Unit: 2817

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Pate 1, line 10, note that reference to "the preamble of claim 1" is inappropriate for such description and should be deleted. Page 2, 1 33 and page 8, line 36, note "1a to 1d" should be rewritten as --1a, 1b, 1c, 1d-- for consistency with the labeling in the drawing figures. Page 2, line 34, note that "in the end" is vague in meaning. Page 3, line 34, note that "- &" is vague in meaning. Page 7, in the brief description of the drawings, note that the reference to "Figures 6a and 6b" do not appear to make sense since no such figures appear to have been filed; moreover, note that brief description of Figures 6, 7, 8a and 8b are missing and need to be provided. Page 10, line 10, note that "1a to 1f" should be rewritten as --1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f -- for consistency with the labeling in the drawing figures; line 15, note that reference to "the following table" is vague in meaning (i.e. not table appears to have to have been provided). Page 11, note that the description thereat which references "figures 6a and 6b" does not make sense since no "Figures 6a and 6b" are present in the drawing. Should this description properly refer to --figures 8a and 8b--?

Appropriate correction is required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Note that in the specifications description of the following figures the following deficiencies need to be addressed: In Fig. 1, reference label "3" needs a description; In fig. 2, reference labels (39a, 39b, 41) need description; In Figs. 3, 6, 7, 8a and 8b, these figures, in their entirety, need to be described in the specification; In Fig. 4, all reference labels except (1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f) need description; In Fig. 5, all reference labels except (21b, 23, 25) need description.

Art Unit: 2817

Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because of the following: In Fig. 1, note that the drawing figure needs to be labeled --PRIOR ART-- and reference labels -- $(+2\rlap/6, +1\rlap/6, 0, -1\rlap/6, -2\rlap/4)$ -- need to be provided and associated with the corresponding radiating element; In Fig. 4, note that "f' should correctly be --1f-- and reference labels -- $(+3\rlap/6, +2\rlap/6, +1\rlap/6, 0, -1\rlap/6, -2\rlap/6, -3\rlap/6)$ -- need to be provided and associated with the corresponding radiating element; In Fig. 5, note that reference label --29-- needs to be provided as per the specification description. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the metallic cover (cl 13) and the offset of center points between the strip line sections and the pivoting axis (cl 20), respectively must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The following claimed subject need to be described in the specification: the

Application/Control Number: 10/049,809

Art Unit: 2817

distance between the strip line sections being 0.1 to 1.0 times the transmitted RF wavelength; the dielectric for the capacitive coupling; and the metallic cover.

Claims 1-23; 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 24, note that reference to "is in this case" and "for this purpose" are respectively vague in meaning and need clarification. Note that it is unclear what scope of coverage is encompassed by the recitation "like a pointer". In the last paragraph, it is unclear how this recitation defines the invention.

In claims 2, 3, note that it is unclear the intent and purpose of the recitation "at the same time". Note that it is unclear which "tapping sections" are intended, especially since the "plurality of strip line sections" lacks strict antecedent basis.

In claim 4, note that it is unclear what characterizes "formed like a radial point element".

In claims 5, 6, note that reference to "the share of the power" and "the (innermost/outermost) strip line section" respectively lack strict antecedent basis.

In claim 7, should "said" precede "a least two of the strip line sections" for a proper characterization? Note that it is unclear whether "at least two or all..." constitutes a proper alternative recitation.

In claim 8, note that "increases by a constant factor" is vague in meaning (i.e. increases relative to what reference?).

Application/Control Number: 10/049,809

Art Unit: 2817

In claims 9, 14, 15, 19-23, note that it is unclear which "tapping section" (e.g. some, all, etc) are intended.

In claim 11, note that "the coupling section" lacks strict antecedent basis in claim 1.

In claims 12, 13, note that "this phase shift assembly" appears to imply or suggest more than one phase shift section which is not consistent with the one phase shift section of claim 1.

In claims 12, 13, 17, 22, 23, note that limiting modifiers such as "in particular" and "preferably" render these claims vague and indefinite.

In claim 14, note that it is unclear which of the "number of connection lines" is intended by the recitation of "the connection line".

In claims 18-20, note that reference to "the center points" is not definite since claim 1 does not define the strip line sections as having different center points.

The following claims have been found objectionable for reasons set forth below:

Note that claims (1, 24; 2, 3; 22, 23/1, 23/22) appear to respectively recite the same subject matter and have the same dependency thus resulting in redundant claims. Clarification is needed.

In claims 1, 24, note that --a respective tapping section-- is suggested and "which tapping section" should be rephrased as --said respective tapping section--.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huynh et al and Saitou pertain to adjustable phase shifter using a pointer like element.

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Benny Lee at telephone number (703) 308-4902.

BENNYT. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2817

L BENNY/pj 10/09/03